

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.22058 of 2016

Date of Decision: 24.10.2016

Maninderjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. I.D. Singla, Advocate
for Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Consitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to count the service rendered by the petitioner in the Government Aided School in view of judgment in ***CWP No.8668 of 2010*** titled as ***Tarsem Singh and others vs State of Punjab and others*** decided on ***10.03.2010*** (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has served a demand notice dated 19.05.2016 (Annexure P-3) but the same has not been considered. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to decide the demand notice dated 19.05.2016 (Annexure P-3) within some stipulated period in view of ***Tarsem Singh's*** case (Supra).

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present

petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the demand notice dated 19.05.2016 (Annexure P-3) in view of **Tarsem Singh's** case (supra) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief sought for in the demand notice, the same be granted to him within a period of two months thereafter.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

24.10.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No