

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-14127-CWP-2016 in/and
CWP No. 22017 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 7.11.2016

Lokesh Kumar Anand

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate, for,
Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner states that in the main writ petition, there are some errors in para-3. Therefore, he wants to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one with correct particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

In view of the above, CM-14127-CWP-2016 stands disposed of as infructuous.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.11.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : No

Whether Reportable : No