

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2185 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 3.2.2016

Rahees

.. Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 25.2.2015 (Annexure P-3), vide which the ration depot of the petitioner was cancelled and the order dated 15.7.2015, vide which the appeal against the cancellation order was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no details regarding closure of the depot of the petitioner or non-supply of ration were mentioned in the notice issued to him. He never admitted before any authority that he had committed any mistake.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order. It has specifically been mentioned in the order passed by the appellate authority that at the time of inspection on 5.2.2015, the depot was found closed. The ration card holders had given the statement that the petitioner had been giving ration to them once in three months. It has further been noticed that the petitioner had himself admitted his mistake. It was on account of these irregularities found that the licence was cancelled.

Considering the factual matrix, as noticed above, I do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned orders.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

3.2.2016
mk