

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21811 of 2016
Date of decision: 20.10.2016**

M/s Selvel Media Services Pvt. Ltd.

... Petitioner

Vs.

Municipal Corporation, U.T., Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. M.S. Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Present writ petition is directed against a demand notice dated 01.09.2016 (Annexure P-4), whereby the petitioner was directed to deposit the amount in question within a period of seven days.

Petitioner filed its reply to the notice vide Annexure P-5 dated 09.09.2016, making a request to the competent authority to withdraw the abovesaid demand notice. Petitioner again moved a representation dated 19.08.2016 (Annexure P-6). A bare reading of the impugned demand notice and the reply/representations filed thereto, would make it crystal clear that no final order has been passed by the competent authority so far. Instant writ petition is directed against an incomplete action, which is not maintainable as such.

It goes without saying that the moment an appropriate order is passed by the competent authority, petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

With the abovesaid observations made, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

20.10.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No