

**121-b IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21809 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 20, 2016**

Smt. Mantari

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. MJS Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner retired as Sweeper from the office of respondent No.4 on 31.05.2016. Some of the retiral benefits have been released to her but some other benefits have not been released to her. She also claims interest on the delayed payment.

The short prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner is that respondent No.4 may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 14.06.2016 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner.

In these circumstance, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider the legal notice dated 14.06.2016 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order and if some retiral benefits are due to the petitioner, the same shall be released to her along with interest, as per Government instructions.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 20, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No