

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 22768 of 2015

Date of decision: 23.08.2016

M/s Glaxo Smithkline Pharmaceuticals Ltd.

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Kartikeya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K. S. Nalwa, Addl. A.G., Punjab and
Ms. Monika Chhibbar Sharma, DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos. 1 & 3.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos. 2 & 4.

Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No. 5.

Mr. Amarjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 6 and 7.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of the authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of present writ petition, filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents-authorities to take appropriate action on the representation of the petitioner.

Notice of motion was issued and in compliance thereof written statements on behalf of the State of Punjab as well as State of Haryana have already been filed. Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 have filed their separate written statements.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only limited relief which is being claimed at this point of time is that the petitioner shall submit a comprehensive representation within a period of three weeks from

today to the respondents-authorities and they may be directed to consider and decide the same within a reasonable time.

Learned counsel for the respondents also fairly state that they have got no objection to the above said prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of above respective stands, taken on behalf of both the parties, present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move an appropriate representation before any of the respondents-authorities within a period of three weeks from today. The respondents-authorities are directed that if the petitioner approaches them by moving an appropriate representation within a period of three weeks from today, they shall consider the same and decide it by passing an appropriate order at an early date but not in any case beyond the period of two months from the date of moving of representation before them.

With the aforesaid direction, the present writ petition is disposed of.

August 23, 2016
Ansari

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No.
Yes/No