

**118-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21804 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 20, 2016**

Daya Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. K.S. Rekhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner retired from service on 31.01.2016. It is claimed that his retiral benefits have not been released to him, despite issuing a legal notice/representation dated 14.09.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The short prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner is that respondent No.2 may be directed to decide the aforesaid legal notice/representation of the petitioner and whatever is due to the him, be paid.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice/representation dated 14.09.2016 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If the petitioner is found entitled to any retiral benefits, the same should be released to him within a further period of one month.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 20, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

No

Whether Reportable:

No