

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2180 of 2016

Date of decision: 17.11.2016

Sinder Kaur Gill

...Petitioner

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

As per stand taken in the written statement, order of rejecting premature retirement has been withdrawn and the petitioner has been allowed premature retirement w.e.f. 07.05.2015 subject to outcome of the disciplinary proceedings initiated against her.

Learned State counsel seeks time to get the present status of the disciplinary proceedings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is also at par with the petitioner in *CWP No. 25106 of 2015* titled as *Harinder Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others*. A perusal of the photocopy of order supplied by learned State counsel shows that the said writ petition has been disposed of with a direction to the respondents to release the necessary benefits to the petitioner preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, the petitioner is also entitled to the necessary benefits and the same be released. In case the petitioner is still aggrieved, she is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 17, 2016
Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No