

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.11999 & 12000-CI of 2016 in/and  
RFA No.152 of 2009  
Date of Decision : 27.10.2016.**

**Raldhu Ram and others**

**.....Appellants**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and another**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present : Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the applicant-appellants.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

**CM No.12000-CI of 2016**

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Accordingly, legal representative of late Raldhu-appellant No.1, who is stated to have died on 27.08.2016, as depicted in paragraph 3 of the application is brought on record for the purpose of pursuing the present appeal only. It is clarified that this order shall have no bearing if any dispute qua the registered will dated 22.03.2007, is pending before any Court.

**CM No.11999-CI of 2016**

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the appeal is taken on Board.

**RFA No.152 of 2009**

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 14.01.2016, rendered by this court, in RFA No.2158 of 2015 (Ajit Singh and another Vs. State of Haryana), vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this

court had enhanced the compensation, awarded to the landowners to ₹4,38,000/- per acre.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

That being so, this appeal too is disposed of in terms of the decision rendered by this Court in the case of Ajit Singh (supra).

27.10.2016

*Manoj Bhutani*

**(ARUN PALLI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No