

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 21630 of 2016  
Date of Decision: 19.10.2016**

M/s Gandhi Rice Mill Kot Kapura District Faridkot through Proprietor  
Harish Kumar Gandhi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

Present : Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)**

Feeling aggrieved against the impugned communication dated 7.6.2010 (Annexure P-9), whereby interest was being claimed from the petitioner, it has approached this Court by way of present writ petition, seeking a writ in the nature of Certiorari, for quashing the impugned communication. Petitioner also seeks a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondent-authorities not to recover the interest and carry over charges on alleged late delivery of rice after 30.6.2013.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is a matter of record that feeling aggrieved against this very impugned communication, petitioner had earlier approached the respondent authorities by way of representation dated 29.8.2016, which was not being decided. Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of the respondent authorities, petitioner approached this Court by way of writ petition bearing CWP No.21204 of 2016, seeking only a writ in the nature of

**Civil Writ Petition No. 21630 of 2016**

Mandamus, which was disposed of vide order dated 6.10.2016 (Annexure P-12), directing the respondent authorities to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

It is also not in dispute that said period of two weeks granted to the respondent authorities for considering and deciding the abovesaid representation dated 29.8.2016, by passing an appropriate order thereon, is yet to expire. In this view of the undisputed fact situation obtaining on record of the present case, instant writ petition is not maintainable in the present form, at this stage. However, it goes without saying that if the petitioner would feel aggrieved against the order to be passed by the respondent authorities on its abovesaid representation dated 29.8.2016, in compliance of the order dated 6.10.2016 passed by this Court, petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the said order, in accordance with law.

With the abovesaid observations made, present petition stands disposed of.

19.10.2016  
*Ak Sharma*

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable: Yes/No*