

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21629 of 2016
Date of decision: 19.10.2016

Dharam Pal and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioners was not being redressed, they finally approached the respondent authorities by way of self-contained representation dated 08.09.2013 (Annexure P-7) but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners within a reasonable time by passing an appropriate order thereon.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak-respondent No.3 is directed to look into the matter, consider the

grievance raised by the petitioners vide their representation dated 08.09.2013 (Annexure P-7) and decide the same at an early date and also keeping in view the observations made by this Court in its order dated 17.08.2011 in CWP No.8979 of 2009 (Charan Singh Vs. State of Haryana and another), by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of one year from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

19.10.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No