

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21546 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.11.2016

Paramjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against reply to his notice, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari and a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to pay compensation to the petitioner on account of untimely death of her son due to electrocution.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that he does not intend to press this petition for a writ in the nature of certiorari, for the time being. He further submits that petitioner has approached the respondent authorities by way of self-contained legal notice dated 01.03.2016 (Annexure P-7) but the same has not been decided by the competent authority so far. He also submits that petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner, by passing an appropriate order thereon at an early date.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without

expressing any opinion on merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Punjab State Transmission Corporation Ltd., Patiala-respondent No.3 to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised in her self-contained legal notice dated 01.03.2016 (Annexure P-7), which prima facie seems to be genuine and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law and also keeping in view the judgment of this Court in **Raman Vs. State of Haryana and others, 2013 (4) RCR (Civil) 425** but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

15.11.2016

vishnu

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No