

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.9209 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.12.2016

Tajinderjit Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Punjab Gramin Bank and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. N.C. Sahni, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the petitioners for promotion to Scale-IV against ten posts, which were in existence in terms of resolution of the Board dated 13.02.2009 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that petitioners No.1, 3 and 4 have been promoted in the year 2012 and the benefit to them has been granted from back date, whereas, petitioner No.2 had retired. Learned counsel also submits that necessary relief has been granted to the petitioners and as such, the present petition has become infructuous, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are entitled for benefit from the date when the private respondents have been promoted.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for

the parties and also the fact that petitioners No.1, 3 and 4 have already been promoted and petitioner No.2 had retired, the present petition has become infructuous.

However, in case, the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to move representation to the authorities concerned for the relief which has not been granted to them within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, any such representation is made by the petitioners, the respondents are directed to consider the same within a period of two months thereafter.

22.12.2016
gupreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No