

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.21495 of 2016

Date of Decision: 17.10.2016

Manmit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that during pendency of the process of selection, the caste of the petitioner was declared as Backward. At the time of inviting applications, the petitioner could not apply as he was over age but by virtue of relaxation given to B.C category candidates, he became entitled due to relaxation of five years in age.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the process of selection is still going on as the documents are to be scrutinized by the Selection Committee. The petitioner has also submitted his application along with fee by way of draft but no action has been taken thereupon so far. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has made a representation dated 11.10.2016 (Annexure P-18) but the same has not been decided so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to

consider the representation dated 11.10.2016 (Annexure P-18) moved by the petitioner.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner that no action has been taken on the representation filed by the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 11.10.2016 (Annexure P-18) and pass necessary orders in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

17.10.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No