

**130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21445 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : October 17, 2016

Ved Parkash

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the period of employment rendered by the petitioner on contractual basis followed by regularization of service has not been counted as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary benefits under Rule 3.17 of the Punjab Civil Services Rules as applicable to Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already made legal representation dated 15.02.2016 (Annexure P-6). His short prayer is that the respondents may be directed to decide the said legal representation of the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, a direction is issued to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to decide the legal representation dated 15.02.2016 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioner within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 17, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No