

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No.22379 of 2015
Date of decision: 16.03.2016

A.J. Ansari ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
2. CWP No.22399 of 2015

D.K. Sharma ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
3. CWP No.22402 of 2015

Afaq Ahmed Siddiqui ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
4. CWP No.22408 of 2015

Ritu Budhiraja ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
5. CWP No.22441 of 2015

Munnar Prasad Azad ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
6. CWP No.22760 of 2015

Ranjana Kumeri ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

7. CWP No.24180 of 2015
Usha Khattar and others ... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
8. CWP No.24181 of 2015
Ranjana Thakur and others ... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
9. CWP No.3257 of 2016
Suresh Chand ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
10. CWP No.3259 of 2016
Shri Bansilal Kanwer ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Alok Mittal, Mr. Randeep Singh for Mr. Sushil Jain,
Mr. Karan Nehra, Mr. Rajat Agnihotri, Advocates, for the
petitioner(s).
Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, DAG, Haryana and
Mr. RKS Brar, Additional Advocate General Haryana.
Mr. Anil Kumar Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for respondent-HUDA.
Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate and
Ms. Ruchita Garg, Advocate for respondent- MC
Faridabad.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (Oral)

The facts and circumstances in these petitions in so far as they are relevant to the petitions are similar to those in the case of **Smt. Malti Yadav & others** v. **State of Haryana & others** [CWP-26706-2015], which was disposed of by an order and judgment of the Division Bench of this court (to which one of us was a party) dated 21.12.2015. In these cases also the petitioners' grievance is that in an affidavit filed by respondent No.2-the Director, Town & Country Planning, Haryana in the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioners' names have not been shown against the plots which had been agreed to be sold to them. The petitioners along with several others had filed IAs before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in so far as is relevant has been set out in the said judgment dated 21.12.2015.

2. The petitioners had not filed IA Nos.8 and 9, referred to in the order. The names of certain other parties have been shown against the plots claimed by the petitioners. The petitioners' apprehension is that their title may be affected by virtue of claims of the third parties as they have been shown against the plots in the said affidavit.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2, on instructions of Om Parkash, Assistant Town Planner,

Faridabad, states that a public notice has been issued today i.e. 16.03.2016, a copy whereof is tendered in court and marked as 'X'.

4. For the reasons stated in the order and judgment dated 21.12.2015, these writ petitions are also disposed of in the same terms. The petitioners are at liberty to make representations before respondent No.2 to bring their names on any record that may be maintained by respondent No.2 and to recognize them as the owners of the plots, if respondent No.2 is bound to do so in law. The petitioners are also at liberty to file a suit or any other proceeding including for declaration of their titles in respect of the plots. Respondent No.2 shall respond to the representation, if any, within a period of 12 weeks of the receipt thereof. It is for the petitioners to adopt appropriate proceedings.

5. However, we express no opinion as to whether they are entitled to have their disputes referred to the Arbitrator referred to in the order and judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 10.12.2015 in **Okhla Enclave Plot Holders Welfare Association** v. **Union of India and others** [Writ Petition (Civil) No.876 of 1996].

(S.J. Vazifdar)
Acting Chief Justice

(Arun Palli)
Judge

March 16, 2016
Rajan