

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.25600 of 2013

Date of decision: 22.7.2016

Siddharth Rawat and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

Ms. Sonal Dutta, Advocate for
Mr. M.V.Gupta, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Case has been called twice.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

Adjournment had been sought on the last date to file amended writ petition but the same has not been done.

A perusal of the written statement would go on to show that 50% of the amount has been refunded as per terms of the prospectus. Necessary affidavit has also been filed with regard to the seat that it remained vacant for the entire course. The petitioners had taken time to file amended writ petition to challenge the terms and conditions of the prospectus which cannot be done once the petitioner had taken admission under the same. Therefore, the same cannot be challenged subsequently.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

**July 22, 2016
Pka**

**(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge**