

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No. 10038-CWP-2016 in/and
C.W.P No. 22366 of 2015 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 22.08.2016**

Parveen Kumar Goel and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Jhanda, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Bhupinder Kaur, Advocate for
Dr. Surya Prakash, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Saurabh Gulia, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Applicant-respondent No.7 seeks dismissal of the writ
petition as the same has been rendered infructuous.

Notice of the application to counsel opposite.

Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate, learned counsel for the
petitioners accepts notice and fairly states that since the term of Adhoc
committee has expired and the Administrator has been appointed, the
present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may
be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the application is allowed and the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

AUGUST 22, 2016
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	YES/NO
Whether reportable	YES/NO