

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 2220 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION:11.11.2016

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that the training course was only for six months and that period has already lapsed and the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in spite of contacting the petitioner, he is not coming forward.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for respondent No.3, the present petition has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

In case anything survives, the petitioner is at liberty to move application for recalling of the order.

November 11, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No