

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 21238 of 2016
Date of Decision: 7.10.2016**

Navneet and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Ashok Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, the petitioners have approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are making a limited prayer at this stage, only to the extent that the revisional authority-respondent No.2 may be directed to consider and decide revision petition (Annexure P-1), filed by the petitioners alongwith stay application (Annexure P-2), at an early date so that their statutory revision petition may not be rendered infructuous.

Amit Kumar
2016.10.07 16:46
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, instant writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to move an appropriate application, seeking preponment of the date of hearing from 30.11.2016 to some earlier date. Learned counsel for the petitioners has undertaken before this Court that petitioners shall move such an application within a period of 10 days from today.

In case such an application is moved by the petitioners, the same shall be considered and decided by the Financial Commissioner and Additional Chief Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Transport Department-respondent No.2 at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law and granting preliminary hearing to the petitioners, but in any case within a period of three weeks. These directions are being issued only because of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, so as to ensure that statutory revision petition filed by the petitioners alongwith stay application, may not be rendered infructuous.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)

JUDGE

7.10.2016

Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No