

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 21180 of 2016
Date of decision : 7.10.2016**

Vinod Kumar

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral) :

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has represented to the respondents for his transfer in terms of the policy dated 6.8.2015 (Annexure P-1) but the same have failed to evoke any response.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of Court, Mr. Harish Rathi, Senior DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply three sets of paper book to the learned State counsel in the course of the day.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

7.10.2016

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No