

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21158 of 2016

Date of Decision: 07.10.2016

Bar Association Ajnala

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mannan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Rameshwar Singh Malik, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, it finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation (Annexure P-4), which is claimed to be dated 14.03.2016, but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide its representation, within a reasonable time.

CWP No.21158 of 2016

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab-respondent No.2, to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide its representation dated 14.03.2016 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

07.10.2016
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No