

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22053 of 2015

Date of decision: 25.07.2016

Shri Niwas Rana

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. V.K.Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Amar Vivek, Advocate for the respondent-HUDA.

SURYA KANT, J (ORAL).

Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana and Mr. Amar Vivek, counsel for HUDA, jointly state that the authorities are ready to extend the benefit of order dated December 21, 2015, rendered in CWP No.1578 of 2015 (**Ajad Singh Rana & ors. Vs. State of Haryana & ors.**) to the petitioner.

The aforesaid statement is acceptable to learned counsel for the petitioner provided that the name of the petitioner is also included in the very first draw of lots.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection for inclusion of name of the petitioner in the same draw of lots, which would be held for the writ petitioners of CWP No.8060 of 2008 and other connected cases like **Ajad Singh Rana's** case (supra), etc.

In this view of the matter, let the petitioner approach the Estate Officer, HUDA, Gurgaon, so that his application is scrutinised and included in the ensuing draw of lots.

Disposed of.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

July 25, 2016
ps

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE