

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20938 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 05, 2016**

Palwinder Singh and others **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners pray that their past service on daily wages/contract basis should be reckoned as qualifying service for the purpose of pension and other benefits, in terms of the Division Bench judgment of this Court passed in CWP No.2371 of 2010, titled as *“Harbans Lal vs The State of Punjab and others”*, decided on 31.08.2010.

Petitioners have already made representation dated 10.06.2016 (Annexure P-14). Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that at this stage, he only presses that their representation should be decided by respondent Nos.2 to 4. by passing a speaking order.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of at this stage, with a direction to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to decide the representation dated 10.06.2016 (Annexure P-14) of the petitioners by passing a speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 05, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No