IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21892 of 2015
Date of decision: 29.08.2016

Hari Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhiber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sushil Kumar Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

****

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Notice of motion was issued and pursuant thereto, short reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 was filed. Respondent No.4 has filed his separate written statement.

Learned counsel for the State, while referring to the averments

Vishnu
2016.08.31 15:01
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

taken in paras 2 and 3 of the short reply by way of affidavit dated 26.02.2016 of District Development and Panchayat Officer, Amritsar, submits that since appropriate action has already been initiated against respondent No.4, which shall be taken to its logical end, in accordance with law, present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such.

Learned counsel for the petitioner opposes the contention raised by learned counsel for the State. However, the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioner has been found wholly misplaced. The only relief which can be granted to the petitioner in the present writ petition is the directions to the respondent authorities to take appropriate action against respondent No.4.

Keeping in view the abovesaid totality of facts and circumstances of the case, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondent authorities to take the already initiated action against respondent No.4 to its logical end at an early date, by passing an appropriate order, however, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

29.08.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No

Vishnu
2016.08.31 15:01
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh