

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision:30/11/2016**

Sukhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH**

Present:- Mr.Sarbjit Singh Khaira,Advocate for the petitioner.

Mz.Sudeepti Sharma,DAG Punjab assisted by  
Mr.Amarjeet Singh,Executive Engineer,Shah Nehar Talwara,  
Mr.Rajbir Singh,Supdt.,o/o Executive Engineer,Civil Div.  
Hoshiarpur and Mr.Pankaj Sharma,Distt.Treasury Officer,  
Hoshiarpur.

**Jaswant Singh,J(Oral)**

In the present petition, in nut shell, the grievance of the petitioner was that his salary since October,2014 was not being released.

Vide order dated 5.10.2016 notice of motion was issued.

On 11.11.2016 learned State counsel submitted that salary due to the petitioner since October 2014 had since been released. However, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the released salary was not correctly computed,as after reinstatement of the petitioner as Beldar on 21.5.2004 on regular basis in compliance of the Labour Court Award dated 9.5.2002, annual increments had not been released nor had GPF Account Number been assigned to the petitioner, an appointee prior to 1.1.2004. Faced with this situation,learned counsel for the State had sought three weeks' time to ensure that the admissible benefits are granted to the petitioner.

been filed by Engineer Amarjeet Singh, Executive Engineer,Shah Nehar Head Works,Division,Talwara stating therein that due annual increments for the period from 1.6.2010 to 21.10.2014 amounting to Rs.1,01,292/- have since been paid to the petitioner vide bill no.279 dated 23.11.2016, token no.1962 dated 24.11.2016. Another affidavit dated 30.11.2016 has been filed by Harvinder Singh Jaura, Executive Engineer,Shah Nehar Extension, Civil Division,Hoshiarpur stating that salary of the petitioner w.e.f. 22.10.2014 to 31.10.2016 with due increments as on 1.6.2015 and 1.6.2016 have been paid to the petitioner vide voucher no.57 dated 5.11.2016 (Annexure-I).

In view of the averments made in the aforesaid affidavits, learned counsel for the petitioner is agreed that nothing more is to be adjudicated in the present writ petition as the reliefs claimed have since been granted to the petitioner.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

30.11.2016  
joshi

(Jaswant Singh)  
Judge

|                               |        |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/<br>reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:           | Yes/No |