

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

Vinod Kumar
2016.10.06 14:29
Attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

CWP No.20885 of 2016
Date of decision:04.10.2016

M/s M.B. Rice & General Mills ...Petitioner
Versus
Union of India and others ...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and examining the available record, I am of the considered opinion that in view of Clause 19 of the Scheme and Clause 27 of the agreement, the petitioner has the remedy of arbitration.

In view thereof, the petitioner is relegated to its remedy of arbitration.

Disposed of.

October 04, 2016
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No