

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20826 of 2016
Date of Decision : 29.11.2016

Amit

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

Reply has been filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 along with Annexure R-2/1 in Court today. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to appoint him on the post of Constable under the category of 'Dependent Ex-Servicemen'.

The petitioner had applied for the post of Constable under the category of 'Dependent Ex-Servicemen' in pursuance to Advertisement No. 8/2015 issued by the respondents. He was called for the physical test as well as the written examination. However, he is stated to have not qualified the written examination.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the

petitioner has secured 27.50 marks in the written examination as per the answer key issued by the respondents and the last candidate called for interview under category of 'Dependent Ex-Servicemen' has secured only 18.60 marks. Hence, the petitioner should have been declared as qualified in the written examination.

In the reply filed by the respondents, it is stated that dependents of Ex-Servicemen Category are to be considered for appointment on the posts reserved for Ex-Servicemen only in case adequate numbers of Ex-Servicemen are not available. It is stated that in the afore-noted examination, sufficient number of Ex-Servicemen were available in terms of the advertised posts and they have been selected for appointment. It is further stated in the reply that no dependent of Ex-servicemen has been selected.

Therefore, as no dependent of Ex-Servicemen has been appointed in the Ex-Servicemen Category, the case of the petitioner for appointing him on the post of Constable under the category of 'Dependent Ex-Servicemen' has rightly not been considered by the respondents.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in the petition, which stands dismissed.

November 29, 2016.

kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes

Whether reportable?

No