

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2079-2016

Date of decision:- 15.02.2016

Amarjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. N.S. Swaitch, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion returnable forthwith.

Service is waived, as Ms. Meenakshi Goyal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

The petitioner's grievance is that respondent No. 7 i.e. the private respondent under the guise of a mining lease is also carrying out mining activities in the petitioner's property and also from the Satluj river for which there is no mining permission granted in favour of respondent No. 7.

2. A representation in this regard was made by the petitioner's letter dated 30.11.2015 to the Mining Officer, Ludhiana.

3. We do not intend making any observations on the merits of the case. The official respondents, however, must consider the petitioner's

representation/complaint(s) dated 30.11.2015 (Annexure P-10) and take such action as may be found to be necessary.

Needless to add that the same shall be done after affording the petitioner and respondent No. 7 and any other party concerned an opportunity of being heard.

4. The official respondents are directed to consider the said representation dated 13.11.2015 (Annexure P-10) by 10.03.2016.

5. The petitioner shall be at liberty to furnish additional information, if he so desires, by 22.02.2016.

6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

15.02.2016

Amodh