

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No. 20680 of 2016

Date of Decision: 3.10.2016

Ujjagar Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the impugned order dated 27.7.2016 (Annexure P-11), passed by the appellate authority-respondent No.1, whereby appeal of the petitioner against the impugned suspension order dated 26.5.2016 (Annexure P-5) was dismissed, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Certiorari, for quashing the impugned orders.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

A bare combined reading of both the impugned orders would show that none of the impugned orders is suffering from any patent illegality or perversity. The impugned orders were passed by the respondent

Amit Kumar
2016.10.04 09:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

authorities after taking into consideration all the relevant factors. The impugned orders have been found factually correct and legally justified which deserve to be upheld.

However, keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, the Director Rural Development and Panchayat, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-respondent No.2 is directed to ensure that regular enquiry pending against the petitioner is concluded at an early date, strictly in accordance with law, so that the sword of pendency of regular enquiry may not keep hanging on the petitioner for undue long period, but in any case within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

3.10.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No