

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

120

Civil Writ Petition No.20638 of 2016
Date of Decision: October 03, 2016

Pritam Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Jangjit Singh Dahiya, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of a bunch of three writ petitions bearing Civil Writ Petition Nos.20638, 20684 and 20714 of 2016 as learned counsel for the petitioner states that the issue involved therein is identical. For brevity, the facts are being extracted from Civil Writ Petition No.20638 of 2016.

2. The prayer made in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 to 4 to make allotment of residential plot of 14 marla to the petitioner under oustees category according to his entitlement in the adjoining sector to the sector for which the land of the petitioner was acquired, in view of order dated 26.04.2012 in CWP No.10941 of 2010 passed by this Court and for which he had applied for the same vide application dated 02.12.2011, in lieu of advertisement dated 07.11.2011, by conducting draw of lots.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition. However, a prayer was

made that the permission be granted to the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent by filing a detailed and comprehensive representation along with the relevant supporting material in respect of the claim made in this writ petition, however, a direction be issued to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner after affording an opportunity of hearing to him in accordance with law.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner(s), perusing the present petitions and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the cases, we dispose of the present petitions by granting liberty to the petitioners to file detailed and comprehensive representations along with the relevant supporting material to substantiate their claim as made in the present writ petition before the concerned respondent within a period of one month from today. However, It is directed that in the event of representations being filed by the petitioners, the same shall be decided by the concerned respondent in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to them within a period of three months thereafter.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

October 03, 2016
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No