

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.1060 of 2007
Date of Decision :05.04.2016

Makhan Lal and OthersAppellants

Versus

Pardeep Kumar and OthersRespondents

Coram Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amol Rattan Singh.

Present: Mr.Mukesh Yadav, Advocate, for
 Mr.Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr.Gopal Mittal, Advocate, for respondent No.3

Amol Rattan Singh, J.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that despite having written to the appellants, there is no response from them, with regard to obtaining of the correct address of respondents No.1 and 2.

Hence, in view of the fact that the respondent No.3, i.e. the United India Insurance Company Limited, was granted recovery rights even by the learned Tribunal vide the impugned Award, if this Court comes to the conclusion that enhancement of compensation is to be paid to the appellants, the eventual liability to do so would be that of respondent Nos.1 and 3, i.e. the driver and owner of the vehicle in question.

Obviously, if the appellants are not responding to the query of their counsel with regard to the correct address of respondent No.2, they are not interested in pursuing this appeal.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

A copy of this order be sent to the appellants.

05.04.2016
anil

**(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge**