

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20624 of 2016  
Date of Decision: 03.10.2016

Kesar Singh . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another . . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Singh Pandher, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Rameshwar Singh Malik, J. (Oral)**

Feeling aggrieved against the impugned order dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure P-1), passed by the Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab-appellate authority, whereby appeal of the petitioner against the suspension order dated 07.06.2016 (Annexure P-2) was dismissed, he has approached this Court by way of present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned orders.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

A combined reading of both the impugned orders Annexures P-1 and P-2 passed by respondent Nos.1 and 2, would make it crystal clear that no fault can be found with any of the impugned orders. The respondent authorities have considered each and every relevant aspect of the matter, before passing the impugned orders, which have not been found suffering from any patent illegality or perversity. Since the respondent

authorities have not committed any error of law, while passing their respective impugned orders, the same deserve to be upheld.

However, alternative prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner has been found worth acceptance. It is so said because the sword of regular inquiry should not be allowed to keep hanging on the head of the petitioner for unduly long period.

Keeping this aspect of the matter, Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab-respondent No.2, is directed to ensure that regular enquiry ordered against the petitioner is conducted at an early date and concluded without undue delay, but in any case within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

**[ RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK ]**  
**JUDGE**

03.10.2016

*mks*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No