

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**CWP-20613 of 2016
Decided on 03.10.2016**

Kiranjit Kaur

--Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.K.S.Mamrat, Advocate, for the petitioner

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter-alia, contends that the petitioner was born on 19.3.1988 but her Date of Birth has been wrongly mentioned in the Matriculation Certificate as 25.8.1988 which has also been mentioned in her passport. When the petitioner came to know that her actual Date of Birth is 19.3.1988, she obtained Birth Certificate on 19.2.1916 in which it is mentioned that Date of Birth is 19.3.1988 which was registered on 25.3.1988. It is submitted that the application of the petitioner made to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has not been decided one way or the others, though the petitioner has made representations to both respondent Nos.2 and 3 which are attached as Annexures P-7 and P-8.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide her

representation (Annexure P-7) and respondent No.3 to decide her representation (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a specified time.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-7) attached with the petition which is stated to have been sent to him and respondent No.3 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-8) which is also stated to have been sent to him, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order, within a period of one month, from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

03.10.2016
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **Yes/No.**

Whether Reportable: **Yes/No**