

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 20594 of 2016
Date of Decision: 3.10.2016**

Partap Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, the petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

A bare perusal of the notice dated 23.8.2016 (Annexure P-6) issued by Block Development and Panchayat Officer-respondent No.4 to the Sarpanch-respondent No.5 would make it crystal clear that appropriate action is being taken. However, petitioner still does not seem to be satisfied, because he wants to settle his personal scores with respondent No.5. In fact, under the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, petitioner has not been found having any locus standi to file and maintain the present writ

Amit Kumar
2016.10.05 10:15
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

petition. Present one seems to be a frivolous and vexatious litigation which is being pursued by the petitioner. Once the respondent authorities are already seized of the matter and taking appropriate action against respondent No.5, no interference is warranted at the hands of this Court, while exercising its writ jurisdiction under Articles 226/227/28 of the Constitution of India.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the present writ petition. Although it is not fair on the part of the learned counsel for the petitioner, yet in the interest of justice, permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

3.10.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No