

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.24745 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.09.2016**

PARKASH SINGH

.... Petitioner

vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. G.C. Rattan, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. R.S. Pathania, DAG, Punjab

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner in this case is that he retired as Inspector from the District Police, Khanna on 31.07.2011, however, on account of pendency of FIR No. 46 dated 22.10.2002 under Sections 330, 343, 211 and 167 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala, the pensionary benefits were not released to him.

It comes out that during the pendency of the petition, all the pensionary benefits have been released. The petitioner was earlier allowed provisional pension and now DCRG has been issued vide order (Annexure R-1) on 09.01.2014. The prayer of the petitioner is for grant of interest on the delayed payment. Since DCRG was withheld on account of the pendency of criminal case, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to interest on the delayed of payment of DCRG.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of.

08.09.2016

gk

(Kuldip Singh)

Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No