

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21504 of 2015

Date of Decision: 11.04.2016

Gurpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

On October 08, 2015 this Court issued an interim mandamus to the State to re-examine the case of the petitioner on parameters indicated in the order. The result of re-examination has born fruit and the relief prayed for has been granted to the petitioner. A statement to this effect has been made by Mr. Chawla that the petitioner has been appointed as Machinist Composite Instructor from the quota of SC (Balmiki) category.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab has no instructions in this regard.

This Court accepts the statement of Mr. Chawla as correct which renders the writ petition infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

11.04.2016

manju