

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20512 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 30, 2016**

Urmila Rani and others **..... Petitioners**

Versus

State of Haryana and others **..... Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Tandon, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are the wife and children of Late Ram Prakash, who was working as Conductor on contract basis through HSSC with Haryana Roadways.

Said Ram Parkash joined his duties on 11.07.2013 and expired on 21.07.2013, after rendering 10 days service. The claim of the petitioners is for grant of monthly financial assistance under Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Govt. Employee” Rules 2006.

Petitioner had earlier approached this Court by way of filing CWP No.22488 of 2015 and this Court directed the respondents to pass a speaking order on the legal notice of the petitioners. Consequently, a speaking order dated 18.05.2016 (Annexure P-10) has been passed, in which it is stated that the deceased Conductor was appointed on contract basis. He worked only for 10 days and that his case is not covered under the Rules (*ibid*).

After examining the Rules (*ibid*), I am of the view that these Rules are apparently applicable to the Government employees, who are in regular service. Here the deceased was on contract basis and worked only for 10 days. Therefore, the petitioners being the legal heirs of the deceased employee cannot claim the benefits of the Rules (*ibid*). Thus, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 30, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No