

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20505 of 2016

Date of decision: 03.11.2016

Jagtar Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Deputy Commissioner, Rupnagar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. AG, Punjab.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

In compliance of the order dated 20.10.2016 passed by this Court, learned counsel for the State has placed on record office order dated 23.01.2009 passed by District Commissioner, Rupnagar, delegating the powers to Sub Divisional Magistrate.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that petitioner would have no case either on facts or in law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner did not raise any arguments.

In view of the above, impugned orders have not been found suffering from patent illegality and perversity, warranting interference at the hands of this Court, while exercising its writ jurisdiction under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India. No prejudice, of any kind whatsoever, has been pointed out, which might have been caused to the petitioner, by passing the impugned orders.

No other argument was raised.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that present writ petition is wholly misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance, thus, it must fail. No case for interference has been made out.

Resultantly, with the abovesaid observations made, instant writ petition stands dismissed, however, with no order as to costs.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

03.11.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No