

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CWP No.20386 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:29.09.2016

Harish Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The petitioner is presently serving as Sadar Kanungo at Gurgaon. The instant petition is founded on an apprehension that private respondent No.5, namely, Gian Chand, Office Kanungo is in the process of being considered and promoted to the post of Sadar Kanungo at Gurgaon and as a result of which the solitary cadre post of Sadar Kanungo at Gurgaon would be occupied by him and which would further entail the reversion of the present petitioner.

The present petition can only be termed as premature. Counsel during the course of arguments has only referred to certain documents which at best reflect that a move has been initiated to consider private respondent No.5 to be promoted as a Sadar Kanungo. Formal order in this regard has not been passed.

Cause of action and grievance of the petitioner would only accrue if any communication/order is passed and which may be construed as displacing the present petitioner from the post of Sadar Kanungo who otherwise is stated to be senior to the private respondent. No such order has seen the light of the day.

The instant petition, as such, is disposed of as premature.

Needless to observe that it would always be open for the petitioner to seek legal redress as and when an order is passed by the Competent Authority and which may be seen as detrimental to the interest of the petitioner.

29.09.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | No |