

209 (2)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4093 of 2012 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.7.2016

Army Industrial Training Institute Petitioner (s)

Versus

The Appellate Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972,
Panchkula and another Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the petitioner has impugned the order dated 23.1.2012 (Annexure-P-6), passed by the Appellate Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, Panchkula, whererby the case was remanded to the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, Ambala, for recording his findings on all the issues.

After hearing both the parties, I am of the view that the Appellate Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, Panchkula, after considering the matter, held that the findings on all the issues are necessary and, therefore, remanded the case back to the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, Ambala, for recording his findings on all the issues. I am of the view that at this stage, it is not proper to express any opinion on the matter. Let the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, Ambala, take a final decision and thereafter, any of the

CWP No. 4093 of 2012 (O/M)

aggrieved party can avail the remedy available under the law.

The petition is accordingly disposed of with the abovenoted observations.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.7.2016
sjks