

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.20244 of 2016.

Date of Decision: September 28, 2016

Barjinder Kumar Jindal

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.**

Present: Mr.Rakesh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is challenging the order of the Land Acquisition Collector, Patran, passed under the provisions of the National Highways Act, 1956.

In our considered view, the writ petition is not maintainable as the petitioner has got alternative remedy under the statute to approach the Arbitrator and then the learned District Judge.

With liberty afore-mentioned, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[SURYA KANT]

JUDGE

September 28, 2016

mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No