

140

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2019 of 2016

Date of decision: February 01, 2016

Vidya Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant her family pension on account of death of her husband Constable Mehar Chand.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for redressal of her grievance, petitioner had moved representation/Reminder Annexure P-4 and P-5 to respondent No.2, but no action has been taken on the same so far.

Accordingly, without advertng to the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2 to dispose of the representation/Reminder Annexure P-4 and P-5 moved by the petitioner, expeditiously, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

February 01, 2016
mahavir