

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.20152 of 2016

Date of Decision: 27.09.2016

Rup Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by decision rendered in ***CWP No.14238 of 1991*** titled as ***Sukhdev Singh and others vs State of Punjab and others***, decided on ***10.03.2010***. He further submits that the petitioner has served a legal notice upon respondent No.2 on 30.06.2016, annexed as Annexure P-8 with the petition but the same is still pending as no action has been taken thereupon so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 30.06.2016 (Annexure P-8) in view of ***Sukhdev Singh's case (supra)***.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that no action has been taken upon the legal

notice, which is still pending, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice dated 30.06.2016 (Annexure P-8) and pass necessary orders in accordance with ***Sukhdev Singh's case (supra)*** within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief sought for in the legal notice, the same be granted to him within a period of two months thereafter.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved by action of the respondents, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

27.09.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No