

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CWP No.20123 of 2016**

Date of Decision: 27.09.2016

Pawan Kumar Garg

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

***BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY***

Present:- Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant the benefit of proficiency step up to the petitioner on his completion of 4/9 and 14 years of service with effect from 24.09.2007 and 24.09.2012 and also to grant him all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner was recommended on 03.04.2014 but still no action has been taken in spite of reminder sent by him. He further submits that a legal notice was also served upon the respondents on 07.05.2016, which is annexed as Annexure P-5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-5).

Keeping in view the limited prayer and without commenting

anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the legal notice dated 07.05.2016 (Annexure P-5) and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this Order.

In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief sought for in the legal notice, the same be granted to him within a period of two months thereafter.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

27.09.2016  
**gurpreet**

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No