

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20090 of 2016
Decided on :24.09.2016**

Vishal Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The challenge is to the notification dated 30.08.2016 (Annexure P-16) whereby reservation given to the children/grandchildren of terrorist/Sikh Riot affected persons has been withdrawn in private institutions, which had been granted vide notification dated 10.06.2016 (Annexure P-9).

Counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that vide decision dated 18.12.2015, the Division Bench had directed the State to consider the issue of said reservation.

It is not disputed that the petitioner has sat in the PMET-2016, but failed to make 50% cut/minimum percentile, as provided in the terms of the notification for the present year and the decision of the respondent-University. In such circumstances, once the petitioner is not eligible as per the parent notification, the challenge to the subsequent notification dated 30.08.2016 (Annexure P-16), in the opinion of this Court by him is unwarranted and the issue would be totally academic.

Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that at the instance of petitioner, the present writ petition is not maintainable and same is, accordingly, dismissed in limine.

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

SEPTEMBER 24, 2016

Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No