

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 20 of 2016

Date of decision : 07.01.2016

Vijay Pal

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Parveen Bharswaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner that his services be regularized w.e.f 01.02.1996 in the light of instructions dated 07.03.1996 read with circular letter dated 18.03.1996 (P-2) and the petitioner be further granted consequential benefits, in view of the judgment dated 19.08.2004 passed in C.W.P No. 1998 of 2003.

The petitioner has submitted a representation dated 24.08.2015 (Annexure P-6) in this regard, but no decision has been taken thereon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied, if directions are issued to respondents to

decide the representation, referred to above.

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 24.08.2015 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

07.01.2016

G Arora