

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19942 of 2016
Date of decision: 24.09.2016**

Rajiv Goel

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Satish Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged nuisance being caused to the petitioner by private respondents, he has approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is not in dispute that petitioner has got the equally efficacious remedy at his disposal under Section 133 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.'). It is also not in dispute that petitioner has not invoked the provisions of Section 133 Cr.P.C., before filing the present writ petition. In this view of the matter, petitioner is relegated to his equally efficacious alternative remedy before the appropriate forum, in accordance with law.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

24.09.2016

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No