

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Writ Petition No. 19894 of 2016

Date of Decision: 22.09.2016

Rajiv

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Shekher Dhawan.**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mahesh Grover, J.

The grievance of the petitioner is that against order dated 27.2.2013 (Annexure P2), he preferred an appeal, which is pending adjudication. He also moved an application for staying the operation of order, which is also pending consideration for 27.9.2016.

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that if order dated 27.2.2013 is given effect to, it will defeat his right in appeal as well, thereby foreclosing his option in the event of his dispossession.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition without issuing notice of motion to the other side but with a clear direction to the Collector, Kaithal before whom appeal is pending, to decide the matter regarding stay on

liberty to dispose of the appeal by passing a speaking order. Till 27.9.2016, petitioner be not dispossessed.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

September 22, 2016
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No