

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19893 of 2016
Date of decision: 23.09.2016**

Laxmi Kant Goel

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rozer Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent No.2, while not proceeding further on the statutory appeal filed by the petitioner and the stay application filed along with the appeal, petitioner has approached this Court by way of present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the impugned order dated 12.07.2016 (Annexure P-1).

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that the first prayer made is not happily worded and he does not intend to press this petition for a writ in the nature of certiorari at this stage. He further submits that petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider the appeal as well as stay application filed by the petitioner against the impugned order dated 12.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.3, at an early date so that statutory appeal of the petitioner may not be rendered infructuous.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to Registrar General of Societies, Haryana-respondent No.2 to look into the matter, consider the statutory appeal bearing No.146 of 2016 filed by the petitioner on 12.08.2016 along with application for stay, at an early date. Let respondent No.2 consider at least the stay application of the petitioner without any further loss of time and decide the same in a reasonable time, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

However, it is directed that till the stay matter is heard and decided by the appellate authority-respondent No.2, the impugned order dated 12.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.3 shall remain in abeyance.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

23.09.2016

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No